Units and Zero Divisors assignment:

1. Given a ring, R, that has a multiplicative identity, prove the product of two units in R is a unit.

Warning: we don't know R is commutative.

Hint: Look at theorem 4, and go look at the video solution to 7.4 #
Second warning: theorem 4 is a useful hint, but this is a problem about rings, not groups, so you can't just say it's true by theorem 4.
Second hint: you can, however, prove it in exactly the same way we proved theorem 4 (just check the inverse conditions)

2. a. Calculate the inverses for the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

b. Calculate AB and $A^{-1}B^{-1}$

c. Multiply $(AB)(A^{-1}B^{-1})$. Are these matrices $(AB \text{ and } A^{-1}B^{-1})$ inverse matrices? If not, what should you do instead to get the inverse of AB (using the technique from problem1 on this assignment)

3. Prove: ***Theorem 43** Any element *a* of a ring *R* can't be both a unit and a zero divisor. *Hint: this should be done as a proof by contradiction. Further hints are on the next page if you need them.*

4. Prove the statement in 3.2 #21 b: If $a \in R$ is non-zero and is not a zero-divisor, prove that cancellation on the right holds for *a*. This means that if ba = ca then b = c (see videos for the process for this)

5. Prove that if 1 < a < n and $a \mid n$ and $ab \neq 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_n , then ab is a zero divisor in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Note that the condition 1 < a < n and $a \mid n$ and $ab \neq 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_n is equivalent to saying that $1 < \gcd(ab, n) < n$

Hints below/on the next page

6. Using the results you proved in problems 4 and 5, for each equation, tell whether it is safe to cancel the common factor:

a.	$2x = 2 \cdot 3$ in \mathbb{Z}_8	yes: this implies $x = 3$	no: does not imply $x = 3$ (more solutions possible)
b.	$6x = 6 \cdot 3$ in \mathbb{Z}_8	yes: this implies $x = 3$	no: does not imply $x = 3$ (more solutions possible)
c.	$3x = 3 \cdot 2$ in \mathbb{Z}_8	yes: this implies $x = 2$	no: does not imply $x = 2$ (more solutions possible)
d.	$2x = 2 \cdot 3$ in \mathbb{Z}_9	yes: this implies $x = 3$	no: does not imply $x = 3$ (more solutions possible)
e.	$3x = 3 \cdot 2$ in \mathbb{Z}_9	yes: this implies $x = 2$	no: does not imply $x = 2$ (more solutions possible)

Hint-through for #3:

- Suppose that *a* is both a unit and a zero divisor
- Using the zero-divisor definition, name an element to multiply with *a* and get 0. Be sure to write down the condition that neither factor is equal to 0.
- Using the unit condition, name an element to be the multiplicative inverse of *a*.
- You now have three different elements. Multiply them together so that *a* is the middle of the three factors.
- Use the associative law to group on the left, and then multiply: what do you get?
- Use the associative law to group on the right and then multiply: what do you get?
- This means two things are equal: what are they? Explain why that's a contradiction.
- Conclude that *a* can't be both a unit and a zero divisor.

Hint through for #5:

- *a* | *n* means that you can write down an equation with *a*, *n*, and another integer. Name the third integer, and write down the equation.
- Notice that n = 0 in \mathbb{Z}_n . Use that to simplify your equation from the previous step as an equation in \mathbb{Z}_n
- You named an integer in the first step. What happens when you multiply that integer by *ab*?
- Your previous equation should have proved that ab is a zero divisor in \mathbb{Z}_n